arXiv:1609.09669v1 [cs.IT] 30 Sep 2016

Relative two-weight $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$-additive Codes *

N. Annamalai
Research Scholar
Department of Mathematics
Bharathidasan University
Tiruchirappalli-620 024, Tamil Nadu, India
Email: algebra.annamalai@gmail.com

C. Durairajan
Assistant Professor
Department of Mathematics
School of Mathematical Sciences
Bharathidasan University
Tiruchirappalli-620024, Tamil Nadu, India
Email: cdurai66@rediffmail.com

Proposed running head: Relative two-weight $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$-additive Codes

*The first author would like to thank the Department of Science and Technology (DST), New Delhi, India for their financial support in the form of INSPIRE Fellowship (DST Award Letter No.IF130493/DST/INSPIRE Fellowship / 2013/(362) Dated: 26.07.2013) to carry out this work.

Abstract

In this paper, we study a relative two-weight $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$-additive codes. It is shown that the Gray image of a two-distance $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$-additive code is a binary two-distance code and that the Gray image of a relative two-weight $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$-additive code, with nontrivial binary part, is a linear binary relative two-weight code. The structure of relative two-weight $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$-additive codes are described. Finally, we discussed permutation automorphism group of a $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$-additive codes.

Keywords: Relative two-weight additive codes, Dual codes, Gray map, Permutation Automorphism group.
2000 Mathematical Subject Classification: Primary: 94B25, Secondary: 11H31

Corresponding author:

Dr. C. Durairajan
Assistant Professor
Department of Mathematics
Bharathidasan University
Tiruchirappalli-620024, Tamil Nadu, India
E-mail: cdurai66@rediffmail.com

1 Introduction

A q-ary code of length n over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ of size q is a subset of $\mathbb{F}_q^n$. If $q = 2$, then the code is called a binary code. If it is a subspace of $\mathbb{F}_q^n$, then it is called a q-ary linear code.

In [1], Bonisoli has determined the structure of linear one-weight codes over finite fields and proved that every equidistant linear code is a sequence of Simplex codes. In [2], Carlet studied linear one-weight codes over $\mathbb{Z}_4$ where the weight used is the Lee weight. In 2000, Wood [3] determined the structure of linear one-weight codes over $\mathbb{Z}_m$ for various weights.

In [4], Delsarte gave Fundamental results on additive codes. He showed that any abelian binary propelinear code has the form $\mathbb{Z}_2^\gamma \times \mathbb{Z}_4^\delta$ for some nonnegative integers γ and δ with $\gamma + \delta > 0$. Hence, it become important to study additive codes in $\mathbb{Z}_2^\alpha \times \mathbb{Z}_4^\beta$ for some nonnegative integers α and β. A $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$-code is a subset of $\mathbb{Z}_2^\alpha \times \mathbb{Z}_4^\beta$ where α, β are nonnegative integers such that $\alpha + \beta > 0$. If it is a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_2^\alpha \times \mathbb{Z}_4^\beta$, then it is called an *additive code.* Fundamental results on $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$-additive codes, including the generator matrix, the existence and constructions of self-dual codes and several different bounds can be found in [5] - [9]. The structure of one-weight $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$-additive codes have been determined by Dougherty et al.[12]. Relative one-weight linear codes were introduced by Liu and Chen over finite fields [13] and [14]. Automorphism groups of Grassmann codes were discussed by Sudhir R. Ghorpade and Krishna V. Kaipa [15].

In this paper, we introduced a relative two-weight additive codes over $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$. We showed that the Gray image of a two-distance $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$-code is a binary two-distance code. We proved that the Gray image of a relative two-weight additive $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$-code is a binary relative two-weight linear code. We described the structure of relative two-weight $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$-additive codes. Finally, we discussed permutation automorphism group of a $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$-additive codes.

2 Preliminaries

Throughtout in this paper we denote the calligraphic $\mathcal{C}$ as a code in $\mathbb{Z}_2^\alpha \times \mathbb{Z}_4^\beta$ and the standard C as a code over the binary field $\mathbb{Z}_2$.

The Gray map from $\mathbb{Z}_4$ to $\mathbb{Z}_2^2$ is given by

$$\phi(0) = (0,0), \phi(1) = (0,1), \phi(2) = (1,1) \text{ and } \phi(3) = (1,0).$$

This map was defind by F. Gray in 1940 to avoid large errors in transmiting signal by pulse code modulation. Since the Lee weight of 0 is 0, 1 is 1, 2 is 2 and 3 is 1, implies

$wt_L(i) = wt_H(\phi(i))$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}_4$ where $wt_L(x)$ is the Lee weight of x and $wt_H(x)$ is the Hamming weight of x. In [11], they gave the following theorem

Theorem 2.1. *The Gray map ϕ is a distance preserving map from $\mathbb{Z}_4^n$ with Lee distance to $\mathbb{Z}_2^{2n}$ with Hamming distance.*

Let $(u|v) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^\alpha \times \mathbb{Z}_4^\beta$ where $u \in \mathbb{Z}_2^\alpha$ and $v \in \mathbb{Z}_4^\beta$, then in [10], the author defined the Gray map $\Phi : \mathbb{Z}_2^\alpha \times \mathbb{Z}_4^\beta \to \mathbb{Z}_2^{\alpha+2\beta}$ as

$$\Phi((u|v)) = (u|\phi(v)) \text{ for all } (u|v) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^\alpha \times \mathbb{Z}_4^\beta$$

where $\phi(v) = (\phi(v_1), \phi(v_2), \cdots, \phi(v_\beta)) \in \mathbb{Z}_4^\beta$.

Let $(u|v), (u'|v') \in \mathbb{Z}_2^\alpha \times \mathbb{Z}_4^\beta$, then the Hamming distance between $(u|v)$ and $(u'|v')$ is defined by

$$d_H((u|v), (u'|v')) = wt_H(u - u'|v - v').$$

If $\mathcal{C}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$-additive code, then $(u|v) - (u'|v') \in \mathcal{C}$ for all $(u|v), (u'|v') \in \mathcal{C}$ and hence $wt_H((u|v) - (u'|v')) = wt_H(u - u'|v - v') = d((u|v), (u'|v'))$. Therefore, the minimum Hamming distance and the minimum Hamming weight of $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$-additive code $\mathcal{C}$ are the same. The Lee weight of $(u|v)$ is defined by

$$wt_L((u|v)) = wt_H(u) + wt_H(\phi(v))$$

and the Lee distance between $(u|v)$ and $(u'|v')$ as

$$d_L((u|v), (u'|v')) = wt_H(u - u') + wt_H(\phi(v - v')).$$

From the Theorem 2.1, it is easy to see that the Gray map $\Phi : \mathbb{Z}_2^\alpha \times \mathbb{Z}_4^\beta \to \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ is an isometry from $\mathbb{Z}_2^\alpha \times \mathbb{Z}_4^\beta$ with Lee distance to $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ with Hamming distance where $n = \alpha + 2\beta$.

Throughtout in this correspondance, we denote the minimum Hamming distance of the code $\mathcal{C}$ by $d_H(\mathcal{C})$, the minimum Lee distance of the code $\mathcal{C}$ by $d(\mathcal{C})$ and the minimum Lee weight of code $\mathcal{C}$ by $wt(\mathcal{C})$. We write Lee weight as weight.

3 Relative two-weight Codes in $\mathbb{Z}_2^\alpha \times \mathbb{Z}_4^\beta$

A nonzero code $\mathcal{C}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_2^\alpha \times \mathbb{Z}_4^\beta$ is called a *one-weight code* if all its nonzero codewords have the same Lee weight.

A nonzero code $\mathcal{C}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_2^\alpha \times \mathbb{Z}_4^\beta$ is called a *two-weight code* if all its nonzero codewords have two different Lee weights.

Definition 3.1. A nonzero additive code $\mathcal{C}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_2^\alpha \times \mathbb{Z}_4^\beta$ is called a *relative two-weight additive code* to the subcode $\mathcal{C}_1$ of $\mathcal{C}$ if $\mathcal{C}_1$ and $\mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{C}_1$ are one-weight codes. A relative two-weight additive code $\mathcal{C}$ to the subcode $\mathcal{C}_1$ with $wt(c_1) = m_1$ for some $m_1 > 0$ for all $c_1 \neq 0$ in $\mathcal{C}_1$ and $wt(c) = m$ for all $c \in \mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{C}_1$ for some $m > 0$, is denoted as $\mathcal{C}(m_1, m)$.

Definition 3.2. A nonzero additive code $\mathcal{C}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_2^\alpha \times \mathbb{Z}_4^\beta$ is called a *two-distance code* if there exists a pair of distinct positive integers m, m_1 such that for any two distinct codewords $c, d \in \mathcal{C}$, $d(c, d) \in \{m, m_1\}$.

It is easy to see that if $\mathcal{C}$ is an additive code, then $\mathcal{C}$ is a relative two-weight code if and only if $\mathcal{C}$ is a two-distance code.

Theorem 3.3. *If $\mathcal{C}$ is a two-distance additive code in $\mathbb{Z}_2^\alpha \times \mathbb{Z}_4^\beta$ with distance m and m_1, then $\Phi(\mathcal{C})$ is a binary two-distance code with the same distance m and m_1.*

Proof. Let $\Phi(c), \Phi(d) \in \Phi(\mathcal{C})$ with $\Phi(c) \neq \Phi(d)$ where $c, d \in \mathcal{C}$. Clearly $c \neq d$. Since $\mathcal{C}$ is a $\mathcal{C}(m_1, m)$ code, $d(c, d) \in \{m, m_1\}$. Since the Gray map Φ is an isometry, we have

$$d_H(\Phi(c), \Phi(d)) = d(c, d) \in \{m, m_1\}.$$

Hence, $\Phi(\mathcal{C})$ is a binary two-distance code with the same distance m and m_1. □

Let $(u_1|v_1), (u_2|v_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^\alpha \times \mathbb{Z}_4^\beta$ where $u_1, u_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_2^\alpha$ and $v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_4^\beta$. Then the inner product between $(u_1|v_1)$ and $(u_2|v_2)$ is defined by

$$\langle (u_1|v_1), (u_2|v_2) \rangle = 2\langle u_1, u_2 \rangle + \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}_4,$$

where $\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i y_i$ and the computation of $2\langle u_1, u_2 \rangle$ are done in $\mathbb{Z}_4$.

Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_2^\alpha \times \mathbb{Z}_4^\beta$ be an additive code. We define the dual code $\mathcal{C}^\perp$ of $\mathcal{C}$ as

$$\mathcal{C}^\perp = \{(x|y) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^\alpha \times \mathbb{Z}_4^\beta \mid \langle (x|y), (u|v) \rangle = 0 \,\text{for all}\, (u|v) \in \mathcal{C}\}.$$

The following examples show that if $\mathcal{C}$ is a relative two-weight additive code, then $\mathcal{C}^\perp$ need not be so.

Example 3.4. *Let $\mathcal{C} = \langle (0|1) \rangle$ be an additive code in $\mathbb{Z}_2^1 \times \mathbb{Z}_4^1$. Then $\mathcal{C}$ is a $\mathcal{C}(2, 1)$ relative two-weight additive code to the subcode $\mathcal{C}_1$ where $\mathcal{C}_1 = \langle (0|2) \rangle$ and its dual code $\mathcal{C}^\perp = \langle (1|0) \rangle$ is not a relative two-weight additive code.*

Example 3.5. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an additive code in $\mathbb{Z}_2^2 \times \mathbb{Z}_4^2$ with generator matrix*

$$G = \left[\begin{array}{cc|cc} 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 3 & 1 \end{array}\right].$$

Then $\mathcal{C}$ is a relative two-weight additive code $\mathcal{C}(4, 3)$ to the subcode $\mathcal{C}_1 = \langle (11|31) \rangle$ and its dual code $\mathcal{C}^\perp = \langle (10|02), (00|22) \rangle$ which is a relative two-weight additive code with same weights 4 and 3. Therefore, both $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{C}^\perp$ are relative two-weight additive codes.

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a relative two-weight additive code $\mathcal{C}(m_1, m)$ with respect to a subcode $\mathcal{C}_1$. Let $c = (u|v) \in \mathcal{C}_1$. Since $\mathcal{C}_1$ is an additive one-weight subcode, $c+c = (0|2v) \in \mathcal{C}_1$. Since each coordinate of $2v$ in $\mathbb{Z}_4^\beta$ is either 0 or 2, implies $wt(2v)$ is even and hence $wt(0|2v)$ is even. Since $\mathcal{C}_1$ is an additive one-weight m_1 code, $wt(c) = wt(2c)$ and $wt(c)$ is even for all nonzero codewords in $\mathcal{C}_1$. Therefore, m_1 is even. Thus, we have

Theorem 3.6. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an additive code in $\mathbb{Z}_2^\alpha \times \mathbb{Z}_4^\beta$. If $\mathcal{C}(m_1, m)$ is a relative two-weight additive code, then m_1 must be even.*

Theorem 3.7. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a $\mathcal{C}(m_1, m)$ code in $\mathbb{Z}_2^\alpha \times \mathbb{Z}_4^\beta$, then the Gray image $\Phi(\mathcal{C})$ of $\mathcal{C}$ is a binary relative two-weight code $\Phi(\mathcal{C})(m_1, m)$in $\mathbb{Z}_2^{\alpha+2\beta}$.*

Proof. Let $x \in \Phi(\mathcal{C}) \setminus \Phi(\mathcal{C}_1)$, then there exists $c \in \mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{C}_1$ such that $x = \Phi(c)$. Since $wt_H(\Phi(c)) = wt(c)$ for all $c \in \mathcal{C}$. Therefore, $wt(x) = wt(\Phi(c)) = wt(c) = m$. Let $x \in \Phi(\mathcal{C}_1)$ with $x \neq 0$, then there exists $0 \neq c_1 \in \mathcal{C}_1$ such that $x = \Phi(c_1)$. Therefore, $wt(x) = wt(\Phi(c_1)) = wt(c_1) = m_1$. Hence $\Phi(\mathcal{C})(m_1, m)$ is a binary relative two-weight code in $\mathbb{Z}_2^{\alpha+2\beta}$. □

Theorem 3.8. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a relative two-weight additive code $\mathcal{C}(m_1, m)$ in $\mathbb{Z}_2^\alpha \times \mathbb{Z}_4^\beta$. Then for any positive integer t, there exists a relative two-weight additive code $\mathcal{D}(tm_1, tm)$ in $\mathbb{Z}_2^{t\alpha} \times \mathbb{Z}_4^{t\beta}$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a relative two-weight additive code $\mathcal{C}(m_1, m)$ to the subcode $\mathcal{C}_1$. Define

$$\mathcal{D} = \left\{ (\underbrace{x \cdots x}_{\text{t times}} | \underbrace{y \cdots y}_{\text{t times}}) \mid (x|y) \in \mathcal{C} \right\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_2^{t\alpha} \times \mathbb{Z}_4^{t\beta} \text{ and } \mathcal{D}_1 = \left\{ (\underbrace{x \cdots x}_{\text{t times}} | \underbrace{y \cdots y}_{\text{t times}}) \in \mathcal{D} \mid (x|y) \in \mathcal{C}_1 \right\}.$$

Clearly, $\mathcal{D}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ is an additive code in $\mathbb{Z}_2^{t\alpha} \times \mathbb{Z}_4^{t\beta}$. Let $(\underbrace{x \cdots x}_{t \text{ times}} | \underbrace{y \cdots y}_{t \text{ times}}) \in \mathcal{D} \setminus \mathcal{D}_1$. Then $(x|y) \in \mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{C}_1$, $wt(x|y) = m$ and hence $wt(u|v) = tm$.

Let $(\underbrace{x \cdots x}_{\text{t times}} | \underbrace{y \cdots y}_{\text{t times}}) \in \mathcal{D}_1$. Then $(x|y) \in \mathcal{C}_1$. Since $\mathcal{C}_1$ is a one-weight code, $wt(x|y) = m_1$ and hence $wt(u|v) = tm_1$. Therefore, $\mathcal{D}(tm_1, tm)$ is a relative two-weight additive code to the subcode $\mathcal{D}_1$. □

Theorem 3.9. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an additive code in $\mathbb{Z}_2^\alpha \times \mathbb{Z}_4^\beta$. Then the weights of all codewords of $\mathcal{C}$ are even if and only if $(\boldsymbol{1}_\alpha | \boldsymbol{2}_\beta) \in \mathcal{C}^\perp$ where $\boldsymbol{1}_\alpha = (1, 1, \cdots, 1) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^\alpha$ and $\boldsymbol{2}_\beta = (2, 2, \cdots, 2) \in \mathbb{Z}_4^\beta$.*

Proof. Let $(u|v) \in \mathcal{C}$ where $u \in \mathbb{Z}_2^\alpha$ and $v \in \mathbb{Z}_4^\beta$. Let us take $u = (u_1, \cdots, u_\alpha)$ and $v = (v_1, \cdots, v_\beta)$, then by the definition of inner product,

$$\langle (\mathbf{1}_\alpha | \mathbf{2}_\beta), (u|v) \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha} 2u_i + \sum_{j=1}^{\beta} 2v_j.$$

It is easy to see that $\langle(\mathbf{1}_\alpha|\mathbf{2}_\beta),(u|v)\rangle = 0$ if and only if $wt((u|v))$ is even. Therefore, the weight of all codewords in $\mathcal{C}$ are even iff $(\mathbf{1}_\alpha|\mathbf{2}_\beta) \in \mathcal{C}^\perp$. □

Combining this Theorem and Theorem 3.6, we have

Corollary 3.10. *Let $\mathcal{C}(m_1, m)$ be a relative two-weight additive code in $\mathbb{Z}_2^\alpha \times \mathbb{Z}_4^\beta$. Then the weights of all codewords of $\mathcal{C}$ are even if and only if $(\mathbf{1}_\alpha|\mathbf{2}_\beta) \in \mathcal{C}^\perp$.*

4 The Structure of Relative two-weight Additive Codes

In a ring, the element x is called *unit* if there exists y such that $xy = 1$. The nonzero element a is said to be a *zero divisor* if there exists a nonzero element b such that $ab = 0$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $G = \begin{pmatrix} A \\ \hline G_1 \end{pmatrix}$ be the generator matrix of a relative two-weight additive code $\mathcal{C}(m_1, m)$ to the subcode $\mathcal{C}_1$ in $\mathbb{Z}_2^\alpha \times \mathbb{Z}_4^\beta$ where G_1 is a generator matrix of the subcode $\mathcal{C}_1$. If $c = (u|v)$ is a row of G_1, then the number of units in v is either 0 or $\frac{m_1}{2}$.*

Proof. Let $c = (u|v)$ be a row of G_1. Then $c + c = (0|2v)$. If $c + c = 0$, then v contains no units. If $c + c \neq 0$, then $wt(2c) = wt(0|2v) = wt_H(0) + wt_L(2v)$. Since $wt(c) = m_1$ for all $c \in \mathcal{C}_1, wt_L(2v) = m_1$. Since the number of unit places in v is the same as number of nonzero coordinates in $2c$ and nonzero coordinates of $2c$ are 2, the $wt(2c) = 2 \times$ (number of unit places of c). Therefore, $2 \times$ number of units of $v = m_1$ and hence the number of unit coordinates in v is $\frac{m_1}{2}$. □

Let $(1|1302) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^1 \times \mathbb{Z}_4^4$. Then this generates the code

$$\mathcal{C} = \{(0|0000), (1|1302), (0|2200), (1|3102)\}.$$

This code is a relative two-weight additive code $\mathcal{C}(4, 5)$ and the quaternary part of the vector has 2 units.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $\mathcal{C}(m_1, m) = \langle(u|v)\rangle$ be a relative two-weight additive code in $\mathbb{Z}_2^\alpha \times \mathbb{Z}_4^\beta$ to the subcode $\mathcal{C}_1$ and u has l unit positions v has k unit positions and s zero divisor positions, then $m_1 = 2k$ and $m = l + 2s + k$.*

Proof. Let $x \neq 0$ in $\mathcal{C}_1$. Given that $\mathcal{C} = \langle(u|v)\rangle$ and $\mathcal{C}_1 \subset \mathcal{C}$, implies that $x = 2(u|v)$. Otherwise, $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_1$. Therefore, $wt(x) = wt(2(u|v)) = wt((0|2v)) = m_1$. Since v contains k unit positions, $2v$ contains k zero divisor positions. Therefore, $wt((0|2v)) = 2k$. and hence $m_1 = 2k$.

Let $(u|v) \in \mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{C}_1$, then $wt((u|v)) = wt_H(u) + wt_H(\phi(v)) = l + wt(\phi(v))$. Since v contains k unit positions and s zero divisor positions, $\phi(v)$ contains $k+2s$ unit positions. Therefore, $wt(\phi(v)) = k + 2s$ and $wt((u|v)) = l + k + 2s$. Hence $m = l + k + 2s$. □

5 Equivalence and Automorphism Groups of an Additive Codes

Definition 5.1. Let $\mathcal{C}_1$ and $\mathcal{C}_2$ be two additive codes in $\mathbb{Z}_2^\alpha \times \mathbb{Z}_4^\beta$. We say that $\mathcal{C}_1$ and $\mathcal{C}_2$ are permutation equivalent if there exists $(\sigma, \tau) \in S_\alpha \times S_\beta$ such that

$$\mathcal{C}_2 = \left\{(x_{\sigma(1)}, x_{\sigma(2)}, \cdots, x_{\sigma(\alpha)}, y_{\tau(1)}, y_{\tau(2)}, \cdots, y_{\tau(\beta)}) \mid (x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_\alpha, y_1, y_2, \cdots, y_\beta) \in \mathcal{C}_1\right\}$$

where S_α, S_β are the Symmetric groups on α and β symbols, respectively.

In other words, for every $\sigma \in S_\alpha$ and $\tau \in S_\beta$, the map $f_{\sigma,\tau} : \mathbb{Z}_2^\alpha \times \mathbb{Z}_4^\beta \to \mathbb{Z}_2^\alpha \times \mathbb{Z}_4^\beta$ defined by $f_{\sigma,\tau}(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_\alpha, y_1, y_2, \cdots, y_\beta) = (x_{\sigma(1)}, x_{\sigma(2)}, \cdots, x_{\sigma(\alpha)}, y_{\tau(1)}, y_{\tau(2)}, \cdots, y_{\tau(\beta)})$ for all $(x|y) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^\alpha \times \mathbb{Z}_4^\beta$ induces an isomorphism from $\mathcal{C}_1$ onto $\mathcal{C}_2$.

Equivalently, two additive codes $\mathcal{C}_1$ and $\mathcal{C}_2$ in $\mathbb{Z}_2^\alpha \times \mathbb{Z}_4^\beta$ are permutation equivalent if there are permutation matrices P_α and P_β such that $f_{\sigma,\tau}(x, y) = (xP_\alpha, yP_\beta)$ gives a bijection of $\mathcal{C}_1$ onto $\mathcal{C}_2$ where P_α is a permutation matrix of order α and P_β is a permutation matrix of order β. It is denoted as $\mathcal{C}_1 \sim \mathcal{C}_2$. In fact, this relation is an equivalence relation.

Example 5.2. *Let* $\mathcal{C}_1 = \langle(10|31)\rangle = \{(00|00), (10|13), (00|22), (10|31)\}$ *and* $\mathcal{C}_2 = \langle(01|31)\rangle = \{(00|00), (01|31), (00|22), (01|13)\}$. *Then* $\mathcal{C}_1 \sim \mathcal{C}_2$.

Example 5.3. *Let* $\mathcal{C}_1 = \langle(101|121)\rangle = \{(000|000), (101|121), (000|202), (101|323)\}$ *and* $\mathcal{C}_2 = \langle(110|112)\rangle = \{(000|000), (110|112), (000|220), (110|332)\}$. *Then* $\mathcal{C}_1 \sim \mathcal{C}_2$.

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an additive code in $\mathbb{Z}_2^\alpha \times \mathbb{Z}_4^\beta$. Then the permutation automorphism group of $\mathcal{C}$ is defined to be the set of all $(\sigma, \tau) \in S_\alpha \times S_\beta$ such that $(x_{\sigma(1)}, x_{\sigma(2)}, \cdots, x_{\sigma(\alpha)}, y_{\tau(1)}, y_{\tau(2)}, \cdots, y_{\tau(\beta)}) \in \mathcal{C}$ for all $(x_1, \cdots, x_\alpha, y_1, \cdots, y_\beta) \in \mathcal{C}$ and is denoted by $PAut(\mathcal{C})$.

Clearly, $PAut(\mathcal{C})$ is a subgroup of $S_\alpha \times S_\beta$.

Example 5.4. *Let* $\mathcal{C}$ *be the code generated by* $(1010|1213)$, *then the code* $\mathcal{C} = \{(0000|0000), (1010|1213), (0000|2022), (1010|3231)\}$ *is an additive code in* $\mathbb{Z}_2^4 \times \mathbb{Z}_4^4$ *and* $PAut(\mathcal{C}) = \{(e_4, e_4), ((13), e_4), ((24), e_4), (e_4, (13))\} \subseteq S_4 \times S_4$ *where* e_4 *is the identity element of* S_4.

Example 5.5. *Let* $\mathcal{C} = \langle(10|11), (11|31)\rangle$, *then the code is* $\{(00|00), (10|11), (10|33), (01|02), (01|20), (00|22), (11|13), (11|31)\}$ *and* $PAut(\mathcal{C}) = \{(e_2, e_2), (e_2, (12))\} \subseteq S_2 \times S_2$ *where* e_2 *is the identity element of* S_2.

Proposition 5.6. *Let* $\mathcal{C} = \langle(u|v)\rangle$ *be an additive code in* $\mathbb{Z}_2^\alpha \times \mathbb{Z}_4^\beta$. *If* u *has* l *unit positions and* v *has* k *zero divisior positions,* s *zero positions,* q $1's$ *positions and* r $3's$ *positions, then* $|PAut(\mathcal{C})| = (l! + (\alpha - l)! - 1)(k! + s! + q! + r! - 3)$.

Proof. Let $u = (u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_\alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^\alpha$ and let $v = (v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_\beta) \in \mathbb{Z}_4^\beta$.

For fixing v, if we permute l unit positions of u, then we get $l!$ permutations $\sigma \in S_\alpha$ such that $f_{\sigma,e_\beta}(u,v) \in \mathcal{C}$ and if we permute $\alpha - l$ zero positions of u, we get $(\alpha - l)!$ permutations $\sigma \in S_\alpha$ such that $f_{\sigma,e_\beta}(u,v) \in \mathcal{C}$. Since (e_α, e_β) is in both collection, for fixing v, there are $l! + (\alpha - l)! - 1$ permutations $\sigma \in S_\alpha$ such that $f_{\sigma,e_\beta}(u,v) \in \mathcal{C}$.

Similarly, for fixing u, if we permute k zero divisor positions, s zero positions, q 1 positions and r 3 positions, then we get $k!, s!, q!$ and $r!$ permutations $\tau \in S_\beta$, respectively such that $f_{e_\alpha,\tau}(u,v) \in \mathcal{C}$. Since each collection has (e_α, e_β), there are $k! + s! + q! + r! - 3$ permutations $\tau \in S_\beta$ such that $f_{e_\alpha,\tau}(u,v) \in \mathcal{C}$.

Therefore, for each $\sigma \in S_\alpha$ while fixing v, there are $k! + s! + q! + r! - 3$ permutations $\tau \in S_\beta$ such that $f_{\sigma,\tau}(u,v) \in \mathcal{C}$ and hence there are $(l! + (\alpha - l)! - 1)(k! + s! + q! + r! - 3)$ pair of permutations $(\sigma, \tau) \in S_\alpha \times S_\beta$ such that $f_{\sigma,\tau}(u,v) \in \mathcal{C}$.

That is, $PAut(\mathcal{C})$ has $(l! + (\alpha - l)! - 1)(k! + s! + q! + r! - 3)$ elements.

□

Example 5.7. *Let* $\mathcal{C} = \langle (1101|1231) \rangle = \{(0000|0000), (1101|1231), (0000|2022), (1101, 3213)\}$. *Here* $l = 3, k = 1$ *and* $s = 0$. *By the above theorem,* $|PAut(\mathcal{C})| = (3! + (4-3)! - 1)(1! + 0! + 2! + 1! - 3) = (6)(2) = 12$.

The group $PAut(\mathcal{C}) = \{(e_4, e_4), ((12), e_4), ((24), e_4), ((14), e_4), ((124), e_4), ((142), e_4), (e_4, (14)), ((12), (14)), ((24), (14)), ((14), (14)), ((124), (14)), ((142), (14))\} \subseteq S_4 \times S_4$.

An additive code $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_2^\alpha \times \mathbb{Z}_4^\beta$ is called a $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$*-additive cyclic code* if for every $(a_0, a_1, \cdots, a_{\alpha-1}, b_0, b_1, \cdots, b_{\beta-1}) \in \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow (a_{\alpha-1}, a_0, \cdots, a_{\alpha-2}, b_{\beta-1}, b_0, \cdots, b_{\beta-2}) \in \mathcal{C}$.

Theorem 5.8. *Let* $\mathcal{C}$ *be an additive code in* $\mathbb{Z}_2^\alpha \times \mathbb{Z}_4^\beta$. *Then* $\mathcal{C}$ *is cyclic iff* $PAut(\mathcal{C}) = S_\alpha \times S_\beta$.

Example 5.9. *Let* $\mathcal{C} = \langle (1111|3333) \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_2^4 \times \mathbb{Z}_4^4$ *be an additive code. Then* $PAut(\mathcal{C}) = S_4 \times S_4$.

6 Conclusion

In this paper, we study a relative two-weight $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$-additive codes. It is shown that the Gray image of a two-distance $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$-additive code is a binary two-distance code and that the Gray image of a relative two-weight $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$-additive code, with nontrivial binary part, is a linear binary relative two-weight code. The structure of relative two-weight $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$-additive codes are described. Finally, we discussed permutation automorphism group of $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$-additive codes.

References

[1] Bonisoli A, *Every equidistant linear code is a sequence of dual Hamming codes,* Ars Combin. 1983, **18**, 181-186.

[2] Carlet C, *One weight $\mathbb{Z}_4$ linear codes,* In: Buchmann J, Hoholdt T, Stichtenoth H, Tapia-Recillas H (eds.) Coding Theory, Cryptography and Related Areas, Springer, Berlin, 2000, 57-72.

[3] Wood J A, *The structure of linear codes of constant weight,* Trans. Am. Soc. 2000, **354**, 1007-1026.

[4] Delsarte P, *An algerbaic approach to the association schemes of coding theory,* Philips Res. Rep. Suppl. 1973, **10**, vi+97.

[5] Bonnecaze A, Sole P, Bachoc C and Mourrain B, *Type II codes over $\mathbb{Z}_4$*, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory 1997, **43**, 969-976.

[6] Borges J, Dougherty and S T, Fernandez-Cordoba C, *Characterization and constructions of self-dual codes over $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$*, Adv. Math. Commun. 2012, **6**, 287-303.

[7] Borges J, Fernandez-Cordoba C, Pujol J, Rifa J and Villanueva M, *$\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ linear codes: generator matrices and duality*, Des. Codes Cryptogr. 2010, **54**, 167-179.

[8] Borges J and Rifa J, *A Characterization of 1-perfect additive codes*, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory 1999, **45**, 1688-1697.

[9] Dougherty S T, Hongwei Liu and Long Yu, *One weight $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ additive codes*, Applicable Algebra in Engineering, Communication and Computing, 2016, **27** (2), 123-138.

[10] Dougherty S T and Fernandez-Cordoba C, *$\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$-additive formally self-dual codes*, Des. Codes Cryptogr. 2011, **61**, 31-40.

[11] Cary Huffman W and Vera Pless, *Fundamentals of Error-Correcting Codes*, Cambridge University Press 2003.

[12] Dougherty S T Hongwei Liu and Long Yu, *One weight $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ additive codes*, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2015.

[13] Liu Z and Chen W, *Notes on the value function*, Des. Codes Cryptogr. 2010, **54** (1), 11-19.

[14] Liu Z, Chen W, Sun Z and Zeng X, *Further results on support weights of certain subcodes*, Des. codes Cryptogr. 2011, **61** (2), 119-129.

[15] Sudhir R Ghorpade, Krishna V and Kaipa, *Automorphism groups of Grassmann codes*, Finite Fields and Their Applications, September 2013, **23**, 80-102.